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Abstract. The propagation of UHECR nuclei for \(A = 1\) (protons) to \(A = 56\) (iron) from cosmological sources through extragalactic space is discussed in the first lecture. This is followed in the second and third lectures by a consideration of the generation and propagation of secondary particles produced via the UHECR loss interactions. In the second lecture we focus on the generation of the diffuse cosmogenic UHE-neutrino flux. In the third lecture we investigate the arriving flux of UHE-photon flux at Earth. In the final lecture the results of the previous lectures are put together in order to provide new insights into UHECR sources. The first of these providing a means with which to investigate the local population of UHECR sources through the measurement of the UHECR spectrum and their photon fraction at Earth. The second of these providing constraints on the UHECR source radiation fields through the possible observation at Earth of UHECR nuclei.
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LECTURE 1: UHECR PROTON AND NUCLEI PROPAGATION

Over the last century, Cosmic Rays (CR) have been detected arriving at Earth. The energy range with which these particles arrive spans over ten decades in energy from \(10^9\) eV to \(10^{20}\) eV. For a large part of this energy range, the CR spectrum is found to fit a polynomial description of the form \(dN/dE \propto E^{-\alpha}\), with \(\alpha\) the spectral index, taking value of roughly 2.7 up to \(10^{15}\) eV (1 particle \(m^2\) \(\text{yr}^{-1}\)), changing above this energy to \(\alpha = 3.1\) (producing a feature called the “knee” [1]). Further on, at higher energies, a second “knee” in the spectrum is observed near \(10^{17}\) eV. Following this, at energies just above \(10^{18}\) eV (1 particle \(km^2\) \(\text{yr}^{-1}\)), another sudden change occurs in the spectrum, with it apparently returning to a spectral index value of \(\alpha = 2.7\) (the “ankle”). CR with energies over \(10^{18}\) eV are referred to as Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR). From a simple consideration of the Larmor radius of CR in the Galaxy it is seen that UHECR containment within the Galaxy’s \(\mu G\) magnetic fields is problematic, most probably requiring their sources to be extragalactic in origin at these energies. Being charged particles, UHECR will execute trajectories with non-negligible curvature in nG extragalactic magnetic fields present on distance scales >Mpc from their sources [2]. This would lead to a washing out of their directional (source) information on such
scales.

With direct CR detection only being possible in the low energy region \(< 10^{14} \text{ eV}\), where the arriving fluxes are sufficiently large to allow satellite and balloon spectroscopy, a direct handle on the CR composition is only possible for these lower energy particles. At higher energies only indirect measurements are viable through the analysis of the profile and content of the particle shower created in the atmosphere. However, for the hadronic part of the shower, the composition of the primary particle plays an important role in the shower development, dictating the eventual shower profile and content. Thus, the composition information is encoded in the CR showers detected.

In this first lecture we consider the propagation of UHECR nuclei for \(A = 1\) (protons) to \(A = 56\) (iron) from cosmological sources through interstellar space to Earth. Firstly, the physics governing the propagation of UHE-protons will be discussed. This is followed in the second section by a discussion of nuclei UHECR propagation. In the third section we study the UHECR spectra observed at Earth for different primary particles injected at the sources. Finally, we end the lecture with a brief description of an analytic approach for UHECR nuclei propagation.

### 1.2 COMPOSITION OF COSMIC RAYS

As already mentioned, the flux of UHECR is sufficiently low that a present-day satellite born flux measurement, if attempted, would need to wait longer than \(\sim 10^6\) yrs just to detect one. Instead, in order to detect a significant amount of events it is necessary to use the Earth’s atmosphere as the detector, as employed by current day UHECR air shower detectors such as the Pierre Auger Observatory [3] (Auger) in Argentina. In these experiments, indirect detection of UHECR is achieved via the secondary particles generated in a particle shower created through the interaction of a (primary) CR with the nuclei of atoms in the atmosphere. The price payed through this approach, with present uncertainty in the description of the hadronic shower development, is the loss of precise composition information of the primary particle. Nevertheless, there are some particle shower characteristics which do intimately relate to the primary particle composition, they are:

- the distance from the "top of the atmosphere", measured in column depth, at which the number of particles in the shower is maximum \((X_{\text{max}})\), \(X_{\text{max}}^p(E) > X_{\text{max}}^{Fe}(E)\)
- the number of muons in the shower \((N_{\mu})\), \(N_{\mu}^{Fe}(E) > N_{\mu}^p(E)\)

We here focus on the first of these composition indicators. The energy of the shower particles energy falls below a critical value \(E_{\text{crit}}\). Through a Heitler model [4] description for the electromagnetic shower, with primary energy \(E_0\), the conservation of energy in the shower requires, \(E_0 = E_{\text{crit}} 2^{n_{\text{crit}}}\), where \(n_{\text{crit}}\) is the number of particles in the shower when the particles in the shower have energy \(E_{\text{crit}}\). This \(X_{\text{max}} = n_{\text{crit}} \lambda \ln(2) = \lambda \ln(E_0/E_{\text{crit}})\) where \(\lambda\) is the mean free path. Typically \(E_{\text{crit}} = 85\) MeV for electromagnetic showers (\(\gamma/e\)).

Fig. 3 in ref. [5] demonstrates that recent Auger \(X_{\text{max}}\) measurements presently support arguments for a mixed composition of UHECR arriving at Earth. With such measurements as motivation, along with UHECR proton propagation we also review the main
aspects of UHECR nuclei propagation in the following sections. Indeed, it is worth stating that the CR composition observed at Earth may be quite different from that at injection. Intermediate mass or heavy nuclei injected in a distant CR acceleration will gradually disintegrate into lighter nuclei and nucleons as they propagate through intergalactic space [2].

1.3 UHECR PROTON ENERGY LOSS INTERACTIONS

The photon targets for pion production are given by the Cosmic microwave background (CMB) and the Cosmic infrared background (CIR). The specific number density of the CMB photon spectrum constitutes the main target for $p\gamma$ interactions and is described by the Planck’s law for a black body of temperature $kT = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ eV (2.7 K).

$$\varepsilon'_{\gamma} \frac{dn_{\gamma}}{d\varepsilon'_{\gamma}} = \frac{8\pi}{h^3c^3} \varepsilon'_{\gamma}^3 e^{\varepsilon'_{\gamma}/kT - 1} \approx 170 \left( \frac{(\varepsilon_{\gamma}/kT)^3}{e^{\varepsilon_{\gamma}/kT - 1}} \right) \text{cm}^{-3},$$

(1)

where $c$ is the velocity of light in a vacuum and $h$ is Planck’s constant.

Over large enough distance scales through this background, UHECR protons will undergo interactions with these photons leading to the production of electron positron pairs ($p + \gamma \rightarrow p + e^+ e^-$) and pions ($p + \gamma \rightarrow p/n + \pi^0/\pi^+$).

The attenuation rate calculation for both these ($p\gamma$) proton energy loss processes may be expressed as

$$R = \frac{1}{2\Gamma_p} \int_0^{2\Gamma_p \varepsilon_p} \int_0^{2\Gamma_p \varepsilon_p} \varepsilon'_{\gamma} \sigma_{p\gamma}(\varepsilon'_{\gamma}) K_p d\varepsilon'_{\gamma}$$

(2)

where $\Gamma = E_p/m_p$, $m_p$ is the proton’s mass, $\varepsilon_p$ is the photon energy, $\sigma_{p\gamma}$ is the $p\gamma$ interaction cross section and $K_p$ is the inelasticity of the proton for such interactions ($K_p = \Delta E_p/E_p$).

**Pair production**—In the rest frame of the proton, the photon threshold energy for pair creation is $\varepsilon'_{\gamma,\text{th}} \approx 1$ MeV. The inelasticities of these interactions typically going as $K_p \approx 4m_e^2c^4/(m_p c^2 \varepsilon_p')$, where $\varepsilon_p'$ is the colliding photon’s energy in the proton’s rest frame and $m_e$ is the electron rest mass [6].

**Pion production**—In the rest frame of the proton, the photon threshold energy for pion production is $\varepsilon'_{\gamma,\text{th}} \approx 145$ MeV. The inelasticities of these interactions, for low pion multiplicities, go approximately as $K_p \approx (m_n^2 + 2m_p \varepsilon_p')/2(m_p^2 + 2m_p \varepsilon_p')$, where $\varepsilon_p'$ is the colliding photon’s energy in the proton rest frame, and $m_n$ is the pion rest mass [7].

For an approximate description of $R(E_p)$ for pion losses, we may describe the cross-section as a top-hat function,

$$\sigma(\varepsilon'_{\gamma}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \varepsilon'_{\gamma} \leq \varepsilon'_{\Delta} - \delta \\ \sigma_{\Delta}, & \varepsilon'_{\Delta} - \delta < \varepsilon'_{\gamma} \leq \varepsilon'_{\Delta} + \delta \\ 0, & \varepsilon'_{\gamma} \geq \varepsilon'_{\Delta} + \delta \end{cases}$$
where $\sigma_{\Delta} \approx 0.5$ mb is the peak value of the cross section ($\Delta$ resonance), which occurs at $\epsilon_{\Delta} \approx 340$ MeV, and $\delta \approx 100$ MeV [8]. With inelasticities of pion production processes occurring close to threshold typically taking values, $K_p \approx 0.2$,

$$R(E_p) \sim 0.2 \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \int \frac{(\epsilon_{\Delta}^{\prime} + \delta)/2\Gamma_p}{(\epsilon_{\Delta}^{\prime} - \delta)/2\Gamma_p} \frac{dn}{d\epsilon_{\gamma}} \approx 0.2 \left[ \frac{l_0e^x}{(1 - e^{-x})} \right]^{-1}$$

(4)

where $l_0 = 5$ Mpc and $x = 10^{20.53} \text{ eV}/E_p$ (note- this calculation assumes only the presence of the CMB photon target exists).

The rate curves for these processes, shown in the left-panel of fig. 1, may now be well understood, with their maximum values being of the order,

$$R_{\text{max}} \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{m_p}{m_{\pi\text{CMB}} \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}} & \text{for pair production} \\
\frac{m_p}{m_{\pi\text{CMB}} \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}} & \text{for pion production}
\end{array} \right.$$  

(5)

### 1.4 COSMIC RAY PROTON PROPAGATION

Once UHECRs have been accelerated and escaped their source region, their journey through extragalactic space begins. UHECR protons, of energies above $10^{20}$ eV, are not expected to be deflected sufficiently by Galactic or extragalactic magnetic fields that their source directional information will be removed. Along with this, their propagation is limited through their inelastic collisions with cosmic background photons, attenuating their propagation to $\lesssim 30$ Mpc distance scales [9, 10] (see eqn 4), i.e. about the size of the local supercluster of galaxies. Recent measurements by the Auger detector indicate that both that the arriving UHECR spectrum is not isotropic at high energies and that a suppression is observed in the arriving UHECR flux [11, 12]. However, more data is required for further clarity as to the cause of these results. In preparation for developing such an understanding, we here outline the theoretical tools required in order that calculations of UHECR proton propagation may be carried out.

With very small inelasticities for the proton in each interaction, to a very good approximation pair production can be treated as a continuous energy loss process. Photo-pion production interactions, however, involve very large inelasticities, so may not be treated as a continuous loss process and it is necessary to use Monte Carlo techniques [13].

It is also possible for UHECR protons to produce pions through interactions with the cosmic infrared background [2]. Although the rates for these interactions are sub-dominant in comparison to energy losses from pair production, they can be important in determining the spectrum of cosmogenic UHE-neutrinos produced through UHECR proton propagation [14].

At present there are conflicting claims concerning the existence of the GZK cut-off, with two of the three experiments with largest total exposure reporting a cut-off signature [15, 16, 17]. Interestingly, departures from the “vanilla-sky” expectation for a GZK suppression are not so difficult to obtain with both exotic new physics and less-exotic scenarios through alterations to some of the standard assumptions. Indeed, with regard these less exotic scenarios, the actual feature to be expected for the cut-off is dependent...
on several factors such as the local source distribution, composition, cross-over energy at which the extragalactic UHECR flux dominates [18]. In particular, if UHECRs are not protons but consist of a substantial amount of heavy nuclei (see for example [19, 20]) then the spectrum will be altered from the usual expectation. Although evidence for the presence of heavy nuclei in UHECRs has been around for some time, the information available is still imprecise.

1.5 AN ANALYTIC DESCRIPTION FOR PROTON PROPAGATION

For a source at distance \( L \), the ratio of the number of protons, \( N_n(E_p, L) \), arriving with energy \( E_p \) having undergone \( n \) pion production interactions to the initial population, \( N_0(E, 0) \), of protons of energy \( E \) is [18]

\[
\frac{N_n(E_p, L)}{N_0(E, 0)} = \sum_{m=0}^{n} l_0 l_m^{n-1} \exp(-L/l_m) \prod_{p=0}^{n} \frac{1}{l_m - l_p},
\]

with

\[
l_m = \frac{l(E_p)}{(1 - K_p)^m} \quad \text{and} \quad l(E_p) = \frac{l_0}{e^{-x}(1 - e^{-x})},
\]

where \( K_p \) is the inelasticity, \( l_0 = 1 \) Mpc and \( x = 10^{20.5} \) eV/\( E \).

Thus, the distribution of protons of a given energy having not undergone any pion production interactions is given by a simple exponential decay function. Similarly, the distribution of mono-energetic protons having undergone one pion production interaction but not having undergone a second pion production interaction is given by the difference of two exponential functions.

A demonstration of the success of this description may be found in [18], for which the arriving UHECR flux from the local region is obtained and compared with the numerical result. Interestingly, a similar expression as to that provided above (eqn 6) may also describe the distribution of nuclear species produced following UHECR nuclei propagation, this will be discussed further in section 1.7.

1.6 COSMIC RAY NUCLEI PROPAGATION

In this section we study the intergalactic propagation of UHECR nuclei and their spectrum at Earth for different species injected at source. Nuclei undergo different interactions with the CMB/CIB

\[
(A, Z) \gamma \rightarrow \begin{cases} (A, Z) e^+ e^- \quad \text{for} 10^{19.7} \text{ eV} < E_{(A, Z)} < 10^{20.2} \text{ eV} \\ (A - 1, Z)n/p \quad \text{for} E_{(A, Z)} > 10^{19.7} \text{ eV and} \quad E_{(A, Z)} > 10^{20.2} \text{ eV} \end{cases}
\]

Moreover, nuclei suffer photon-disintegration

\[
(A, Z) \gamma \rightarrow (A', Z') + (Z - Z')p + (A - A' + Z - Z')n
\]
Figure 1. **Left-Panel:** Energy loss rates due to proton interactions with the CMB background photons. **Right-Panel:** Energy loss rates due to nuclei interactions with CMB and CIB background photons.

at a rate

\[
R = \frac{1}{2\Gamma_{(A,Z)}^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dn}{\epsilon^2} \frac{d\epsilon}{d\epsilon'} \int_0^{2\Gamma_{(A,Z)}} \epsilon\sigma_{A,Z,i_p,i_n}^\epsilon(\epsilon')K_{(A,Z)}d\epsilon'
\]

where \(\Gamma_{(A,Z)} = E_{(A,Z)}/Am_pc^2\), \(A\) and \(Z\) denote the total number of nucleons (protons+neutrons) and charge of the nucleus, \(i_p\) and \(i_n\) are the number of protons and neutrons broken off from a nucleus interaction and \(\sigma_{A,Z,i_p,i_n}^\epsilon(\epsilon')\) is the cross-section (see [2]).

In the right-panel of Fig. 1 we present the energy loss lengths for iron nuclei through pair creation photo-disintegration interactions.

In general, during propagation, a heavy nuclei will undergo many photo-disintegration reactions, cascading down in atomic number and charge, generating secondary UHECR protons, neutrons and alpha particles along the way, each of which may also contribute to the UHECR spectrum at Earth. The amount of photo-disintegration depend on the amount of time they spend in the radiation fields, the strengths of the radiation fields that they propagate through, and the composition of the CRs leaving the accelerating region (source) [2].

For the purpose of CR propagation simulations, for energies above \(10^{19}\) eV, only sources up to redshift of 0.5 really contribute to the CR flux at Earth, this limit being set by pair production energy losses of CRs during propagation. In general terms, during the past decade there has been quite a bit of work on the propagation of UHECR nuclei (see for example ref.s [21, 2]). Usually, the complex process of the photo-disintegration of UHECR nuclei into lighter nuclei and nucleons has been so far addressed using Monte Carlo techniques. More recently, a new analytic approach has been implemented with very good results [22]. We will describe this subject briefly as a final point in our discussion.
1.7 AN ANALYTIC DESCRIPTION FOR NUCLEI PROPAGATION

Denoting the number of nuclei with atomic number $A$ by $N_A$, the differential equation describing the population of a nuclei state is given by

$$\frac{dN_A}{dL} + \frac{N_A}{l_{A\rightarrow A-1}} + \frac{N_A}{l_{A\rightarrow A-2}} + \ldots = \frac{N_{A+1}}{l_{A+1\rightarrow A}} + \frac{N_{A+2}}{l_{A+2\rightarrow A}} + \ldots \quad (9)$$

where $L$ is the distance traveled and $l_{i\rightarrow j}$ is the interaction length for state $i$ to disintegrate in state $j$. In order to simplify the calculations, we consider the case in which only a single nucleon loss process occurs. This reduces the number of states in the system dramatically, along with the number of possible transitions. The equation (9) simplifies to

$$\frac{dN_A}{dL} + \frac{N_A}{l_A} = \frac{N_{A+1}}{l_{A+1}} \quad (10)$$

The solution to this set of coupled differential equations, constrained by the initial conditions $N_n(L = 0) \neq 0$ and $N_n(L = 0) = 0$ for $A \neq n$ is given by

$$\frac{N_A(L)}{N_n(0)} = \sum_{m=A}^{n} l_{A_{m\rightarrow A-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{L}{l_m}\right) \prod_{p=A(\neq m)}^{n} \frac{1}{l_m - l_p} \quad (11)$$

A demonstration of the success of this description may be found in [22], for which the arriving UHECR nuclei flux and composition is obtained and compared with the numerical result.

1.8 CONCLUSION

In this lecture, the dominant energy loss interactions of UHECR protons during their propagation through extragalactic radiation fields were described. An analytic description for proton propagation through such radiation fields was also provided. Following a motivation for the consideration of UHECR nuclei propagation, from measurements made by present generation UHECR detectors such as Auger, we also considered the dominant energy loss in interactions of UHECR nuclei. An analytic description for this situation was also provided.

LECTURE 2: THE COSMOGENIC UHE-NEUTRINO FLUX

During the propagation of ultra-high energy (UHE) protons through extragalactic space, a finite but small probability exists that they may undergo an interaction with each of the background photons they encounter. The most abundant of the background photons encountered, with sufficient energy to partake in these interactions, existing in the 2.7 K microwave ($10^{-3}$ eV) and infrared ($10^{-2}$ eV) backgrounds. The large distances present in extragalactic space, however, provides sufficient column densities of such photons between the source and Earth to make such interactions likely, leading to the limitation
of UHECR proton propagation in extragalactic space (assuming rectilinear propagation) to distances less than \( l_0 \), where \( l_0 = 5 \text{ Mpc} \). The energy fluxes of secondaries produced through such interactions \((p + \gamma \rightarrow p/n + \pi^0/\pi^+)\) leads to the generation of both neutrino and photon fluxes. However, we here will focus on the production of the (cosmogenic) UHE-neutrinos, leaving the photon flux for the following lecture.

In the next section we will focus on the photo-pion producing interactions. This will be followed by a section describing the expected (cosmogenic) UHE-neutrino flux produced by UHECR propagation through the cosmic background radiation fields, indicating how it is dependent on the CR composition at the source.

### 2.1 SOURCE DISTRIBUTIONS

In order to calculate the cosmogenic UHE-neutrino spectrum arriving at Earth it is necessary to assume an UHECR energy spectrum injected at source, \( dN_p/dE_p|_{\text{source}} \), and the distribution of sources, described by the number of sources in shells of redshift bins redshift surrounding the Earth, \( dN_{\text{source}}/dz \).

The energy spectrum assumed to be produced by our sources are motivated by Fermi first order non relativistic shock acceleration theory. In this theory, particles gain energy through consecutive crossings of a non-relativistic shock wave. The energy gain per crossing is found to be \( \Delta E = \frac{4}{3} \beta E_0 \) where \( \beta \) is the relative velocity of the two plasma’s either side of the shock in units of \( c \) and \( E_0 \) is the initial energy of the particle. At the same time as the energy of the particles increases with each crossing, the number of particles taking part is expected to decrease, with particles being lost from the system through advection (being washed downstream). The number of particles lost in each crossing being given by, \( \Delta N = -\frac{4}{3} \beta N_0 \), where \( N_{p,0} \) is the initial number of particles in the system. After \( n \) crossings, the energy of the particles will be \( E = (1 + \frac{4}{3} \beta)^n E_0 \), whereas the number of particles will be \( N = (1 - \frac{4}{3} \beta)^n N_0 \). From these distributions, one sees that of order \( n \sim 1/\beta \) encounters are needed to significantly modify the particle energy and the number of particles. For \( \beta \ll 1 \), the altered particle distribution becomes \( dN/dE \propto E^{-2} \), the spectrum of Fermi accelerated particles, with spectral index \( \alpha = 2 \). Such a theoretical \( E^{-2} \) spectrum is consistent with the inferred electron/proton spectra from \( \gamma \)-ray observations in the GeV-TeV domain, produced by CR electron/proton energy loss processes close to the source \([23, 24, 25]\).

From this motivation, we assume a UHECR spectrum at the source described by a power law with an exponential cutoff \( dN/dE|_{\text{source}} \propto E^{-\alpha} e^{-E/E_{\text{max}}} \), where the maximum energy is \( E_{\text{max}} = (Z/26) \times 10^{22} \text{ eV} \).

For the distribution of sources per co-moving volume the quasar luminosity density evolution\([26]\) is adopted

---

1 following Hillas criterion type arguments, the maximum energy attainable by a source is expected to be proportional to the charge \( Z \) of the particle being accelerated
\[
\frac{dN_{\text{source}}}{dV} \propto \begin{cases} 
(1+z)^3, & z < 1.9 \\
(1+1.9)^3, & 1.9 < z < 2.7 \\
(1+1.9)^3 \exp[-(z-2.7)/2.7], & z > 2.7 
\end{cases}
\]

(12)

2.2. COSMOGENIC UHE-NEUTRINO PRODUCTION

Neutrinos created during UHECR propagation are known as cosmogenic UHE-neutrinos. As discussed in the first lecture, after leaving the source UHECR protons may interact with the CMB photons creating charged pions through photo-pion production interactions (see section 1.3 in the first lecture). Following their decay, charged pions produce a flux of neutrinos,

\[
\pi^+ \rightarrow \nu_\mu + \mu^+ \rightarrow \nu_\mu + e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu .
\]

(13)

Neutrinos are also produced via beta decay of secondary neutrons

\[
n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e .
\]

(14)

The energy of the neutrinos resulting from \( p\gamma \) reactions is \( E_\nu \sim 0.05E_p \), and in the case of neutron decay, \( E_\nu \sim 0.0005E_p \).

Following the assumption about the energy and spatial distributions of the sources, discussed in the previous section, we here obtain the subsequent cosmogenic UHE-neutrino flux produced. In Fig. 2, the charged pion decay generated neutrino flux at its maximum (the higher energy peak) is roughly three times the value of the neutron decay generated electron neutrino flux (lower energy peak). The normalisation of the cosmogenic UHE-neutrino energy flux, with a value \( \sim 10 \text{ eV cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \), is comparable to the UHECR energy flux at \( 10^{19} \text{ eV} \), as might be expected by the large decrease in the attenuation length of UHECR protons at slightly higher energies, whose energy flux feeds into the UHE-neutrino flux.

Most models for the production of cosmogenic UHE-neutrinos assume that UHECRs are protons. This leads to an observable flux of neutrinos in detectors such as IceCube or KM3net. However, the existence of arriving UHECR nuclei can imply that these cosmogenic UHE-neutrino fluxes are suppressed by factors as large as \( \sim 100 \) (see [27]), making it hard to detect the (previously considered) “guaranteed” flux of cosmogenic UHE-neutrinos. Instead, we here calculate also the neutrino flux expected for the case of UHECR nuclei.

During propagation, UHECR nuclei experience photo-disintegration into their constituent nucleons in scattering off the CMB and CIB photons [2]. The interaction of the daughter nucleons with these background photons may create charged pions which will go on to decay producing a cosmogenic UHE-flux of neutrinos. In the nuclei rest frame, the photo-disintegration cross section peaks at a photon energy of \( \sim 30 \text{ MeV} \) (giant dipole resonance). Since in the lab frame, the average CMB-CIB photon energy is \( \sim 10^{-2} \text{ eV} \), the nucleus must have an energy of approximately \( 10^{20} \text{ eV} \) for the interaction to take place. This energy is roughly the same as that needed for protons to interact with the CMB photons. Thus, the GZK suppression for nuclei can be expected to occur at similar energies to that for protons.
For a given spectrum and composition at the sources, the calculated spectrum at Earth must be consistent both with the observed UHECR spectrum and the $X_{\text{max}}$ (the atmospheric depth of the shower maximum) data. Whereas, the $X_{\text{max}}$ data seems to find better agreement for an all-iron spectrum compared to an all-proton composition at source (see for instance [27]), the UHECR spectrum does not give a clear indication.

The cosmogenic UHE-neutrino flux for CR nuclei is presented in the right-panel of Fig. 2, together with the all-proton “guaranteed” neutrino flux (dashed line). The upper and lower solid line corresponds, respectively, to the highest (dominant proton composition) and lowest (dominant iron admixture) neutrino flux compatible with the data. It can be seen that the lowest possible value is two orders of magnitude below the previously considered all-proton cosmogenic UHE-neutrino flux. If this is the case, it will not be possible to observe the cosmogenic UHE-neutrinos in detectors such as IceCube or KM3net.

2.3 CONCLUSIONS

In this lecture, a motivation for injection spectrum spectral index close to 2 was provided through the consideration of the Fermi first order non-relativistic shock acceleration mechanism. Through simulations of sources with such spectra, with an assumed cosmological evolution history, the diffuse cosmogenic UHE-neutrino flux was obtained. Further to this, with the Auger $X_{\text{max}}$ data presently suggesting that UHECRs inject a component of heavy nuclei at source, this diffuse cosmogenic UHE-neutrino flux calculation has also been carried out for injection spectra and composition consistent with both the arriving spectrum and $X_{\text{max}}$ Auger measurements. In this way, the cosmogenic UHE-neutrino flux calculation has been demonstrated to rest upon important underlying assumptions, with the flux typically determined being by no means guaranteed. The (cosmogenic) UHE-neutrino flux may be reduced up to a factor of 100 if instead of the
all-proton (previously studied) scenario, a large heavy nuclei component at the sources exists[27].

LECTURE 3: THE UHECR PHOTON FRACTION

3.1 UHE-PHOTON PRODUCTION THROUGH UHECR LOSSES

Following the acceleration of UHECR by their sources, and subsequent escape, this flux of particles (if protons) may be attenuated by photo-pion production interactions \( p + \gamma \rightarrow p/n + \pi^0/\pi^+ \) with the background radiation photons. Through this attenuation, a subsequent secondary flux of UHE-photons is generated via the decay of neutral pions \( \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \). From isospin considerations for the Delta-resonance decay, neutral pions are twice as likely to be produced as charged pions. However, close to threshold \( (E'_\gamma \approx 145 \text{ MeV}) \) where direct pion production dominates, charge pion production is more likely. Nevertheless, unlike high energy neutrinos produced through the decay of charged pions \( \pi^+ / \pi^- \rightarrow \mu^+ / \mu^- + \nu_\mu / \bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow e^+ / e^- + \nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu \), these UHE-photons are not free to propagate through the Universe over cosmological distances. The UHE-photon flux is in fact attenuated through interactions with the background radiation field via pair production \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^- \) on Mpc size scales, similar to the scales from their sources on which these photon fluxes are produced.

UHE-electrons produced through such pair creation interactions may either subsequently undergo inverse Compton cooling interactions off the background radiation field through high center-of-mass \( e\gamma \) collisions, leading to a repeating cycle of pair production and inverse Compton scattering and the development of an electromagnetic cascade [29, 30, 31], or synchrotron cool in the extra-galactic magnetic fields [31, 32]. This is investigated in detail in the following section. To conclude this section we would like to remark that high energy photon production is an inevitable consequence of the GZK cut-off’s existence.

3.2 THE PROPAGATION OF UHE-PHOTONS

In this section we discuss how the UHE-photon flux, produced near the UHE-proton sources, is attenuated by their interaction with different cosmic background radiations and extragalactic magnetic fields. UHE-photon interaction with background radiation fields may lead to an electromagnetic cascade generated before these generated UHE-photons reach Earth. Such a cascade being generated by the repetition of two processes: (1) pair creation through UHE-photon interactions with background photons and (2) photon production by UHE-electrons inverse Compton scattering background photons. However, with regards the second process, the UHE-electrons may also synchrotron cool in the extragalactic magnetic field. In the following, we shall look more closely into the physics of pair creation and investigate where the energy content of the electrons and positrons produced flows to next and how the dominant cooling channel is decided upon.

In the center-of-mass frame the electron/positron pairs are produced with equal energy. However, following a boost back to the lab frame, one of the electron’s tends to
take nearly all the energy. The average ratio between the interacting particle $E_e$ and the particle produced $E_\gamma$, for $s \gg 1$, being approximately,

$$\frac{E_e}{E_\gamma} \approx \frac{4s - 1}{4s} f(s)$$

(15)

where $s$ is the squared center-of-mass energy of the scattering process in units of $(2m_e c^2)^2$ (for convenience since this sets $s = 1$ as threshold for the process), given by

$$s = \frac{4E_\gamma E_{\gamma bg}^2}{(2m_e c^2)^2}$$

(16)

for a head-on collision in the lab frame. A description of $f(s)$ is shown in the right-panel of Fig. 3.

For large $s$, one of the electrons produced carries away most of the original photon energy. What happens with this electron energy? The UHE-electrons may lose energy by the interaction either with the CMB radiation field (through Inverse Compton scattering) or with the extragalactic magnetic field (through the synchrotron channel). Since the energy density of both fields are $U_{\gamma}^{\text{CMB}} = 0.25$ eV cm$^{-3}$ and $U_B = 10^{-8}$ eV cm$^{-3}$ ($B = 3 \times 10^{-10}$ G), one might naively expect that the dominating interaction will be the field with the larger energy density (ie. the inverse Compton process). However, we here pay a closer look at the physics of these competing cooling channels.

In the Thomson approximation, the center-of-mass frame is the electron’s rest frame, so the background photon in the electron’s frame simply has its momentum reversed during the interaction. This occurs when $\Gamma_e E_{\gamma bg} < m_e c^2$, where $\Gamma_e$ is the electron’s Lorentz factor and $m_e$ is its rest mass. For synchrotron photons, we consider the magnetic field as a virtual field of photons, with characteristic energies,

$$E_{\gamma bg} = \left( \frac{B}{B_{\text{crit}}} \right) m_e c^2$$

(17)

where $B_{\text{crit}} = 4 \times 10^{13}$ G. Hence, with $B \approx nG$ a value for the virtual photons of $E_{\gamma bg} \approx 10^{-18}$ eV is obtained. For $E_e = 10^{19}$ eV ($\Gamma_e = 2 \times 10^{13}$), the boosted virtual photon’s energy in the electron’s rest frame is $\Gamma_e E_{\gamma bg} \approx 2 \times 10^{-6}$ eV (ie. well within the Thomson regime).

On the other hand, for the CMB photons with $E_{\gamma}^{\text{CMB}} \sim 10^{-3}$ eV, such photons in the electron’s rest frame (for the same energy electron) have an energy $\Gamma_e E_{\gamma bg} = 2 \times 10^{10}$ eV, thus the Thomson approximation is not applicable so the Klein-Nishina description (applicable for $\Gamma_e E_{\gamma bg} \geq m_e c^2$) must be applied instead. Once in the Klein-Nishina regime, the center-of-mass frame can no longer be taken as the electron rest frame and the inverse Compton scattering cross-section is suppressed, leaving the dominant cooling channel less obvious. If the CMB is the only background radiation field and the extragalactic magnetic field is roughly nG in magnitude, the magnetic field (synchrotron) cooling channel wins and UHE-photons will undergo cooling interactions predominantly through the synchrotron channel.
However, if there exists a stronger cosmic radio background (CRB) component ($E_{\gamma}^{bg} \approx 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$) with $U_{CRB}^{bg} \approx 10^{-8} \text{ eV cm}^{-3}$ then $\Gamma_{e/\gamma}^{bg} = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ eV}$ thus the Thomson approximation still roughly holds and the inverse Compton channel can dominate. Under this condition, along with extragalactic magnetic fields of $\sim 10^{-2} \text{ nG}$, the inverse Compton channel will dominate the electron/positron cooling. In the scenario with dominant inverse Compton cooling, an $e/\gamma$ cascade is produced. If this cascade develops with interactions occurring in the Klein-Nishina regime, the high energy particle simply changes from a neutral to charge state and back spending roughly 1/3 of its time in the neutral (photon) state and 2/3 in the charged (electron/positron) state.

**3.3 THE PROTON/PHOTON ATTENUATION RATES AND RATIO AT EARTH**

In the last section we discussed the difficulties that a high energy photon undergoes in the Universe. We here look at a comparison of the proton and photon propagation through extragalactic space in order to study the photon/proton ratio expected at Earth. Considering UHE-photons as UHECR proton interaction products, it is necessary to calculate the UHE-photon interaction lengths with different possible realisations of the background radiation field field, as shown in the left-panel of Fig. 3.

A clear difference in the interaction lengths of UHE-photons is seen to be expected for the Clark radio background value [33] and that provided purely by the radio component of the CMB, for UHE-photons with energies $> 10^{19} \text{ eV}$. Furthermore, a clear difference is seen to be expected between the interactions rates of UHE-photons in the Clark and Protheroe and Biermann [34] backgrounds for energies $> 10^{20} \text{ eV}$. However, for these calculations, the Clark radio background will be assumed.

With the physics of UHE-photon interactions discussed in the previous section, the question as to what fraction of UHECR are photons may be addressed. We here discuss...
the contribution from different source regions by separating out the fluxes produced from sources within the local $0 - 240$ Mpc region surrounding the Earth. We assume that UHECR sources have a homogeneous density distribution locally, with the number of sources scaling simply with the co-moving volume element under consideration. Along with this, we assume each source is produces UHECR with a power law energy spectrum and exponential cut-off. These source spatial and energy distributions being given by

$$\frac{dN}{dV_c} \propto (1 + z)^3$$
$$\frac{dN}{dE_p} \propto E_p^{-\alpha} e^{-E_p/E_{p,\text{max}}}$$

with $\alpha = 2$ and $E_{p,\text{max}} = 10^{20.5}$ eV. Following these assumptions, the arriving proton and photon fluxes were calculated for different sources shells of radii $0 - 3$ Mpc, $3 - 9$ Mpc, $9 - 27$ Mpc, $27 - 81$ Mpc, $81 - 243$ Mpc and energy range $10^{19} > E_p > 10^{21}$ eV. The resulting arriving UHE-photon flux and photon fraction of UHECR are shown in the left and right panels of Fig 4.

With searches for UHE-photons in UHECR data providing photon fraction upper limits [35], we here look into the photon fraction values we expect through UHECR energy losses. Investigations into the photon flux produced through the GZK interactions have demonstrated that significant photon fractions can be expected for particular assumptions about the UHECR sources and extragalactic environment. In the “Clark radio, single” scenario (assuming the Clark radio background and extragalactic magnetic field stronger than 0.1 nG [33]) the arriving photon fraction is predominantly contributed from sources in relatively local shells, with distances tens of Mpc away. For the homogeneous source distribution case, photon fractions between $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-2}$ can be expected with the photon fraction peaking at $10^{-2}$, approximately the maximum energy the UHECRs are injected at ($E_{p,\text{max}} = 10^{20.5}$ eV). The effect of changing this maximum energy are shown in Fig. 5 of the last lecture. Details about photon fraction can be found
Figure 5. Left: The UHECR proton flux arriving from a homogeneous distribution of sources for different values of $E_{\text{max}}$. Right: The corresponding photon fraction of the arriving UHECR obtained from a homogeneous distribution of sources for different values of $E_{\text{max}}$. For this plot, the label "Clark radio, single" refers to the assumptions about the radio background and magnetic field strengths, for which we have assumed the Clark et al. [33] radio background and extra-galactic magnetic fields stronger than 0.1 nG. The injected proton spectrum assumed for these results had a spectral index of $\alpha=2$.

in [18, 32, 36]

3.4 CONCLUSION

In this lecture, the energy losses of UHE-photons in both magnetic fields and radiation fields have been discussed. We have demonstrated that this photon fraction provides a complementary measurement to that of the cut-off feature in the UHECR spectrum, allowing differentiation between the "tired source" and the GZK cut-off scenarios as well as carrying information about the energy injection spectrum at the source. Though measurements by Auger of the arriving UHECR flux photon fraction are only able to presently provide lower limit values ($\sim 10^{-2}$), even smaller fractions should be probed in the near future [37]. With energy loss scales $10 - 1000$ Mpc for protons and $1 - 100$ Mpc for photons both at $> 10^{18}$ eV, and source distance scales expected $\sim 50$ Mpc, the UHECR proton and photon flux collectively are perfectly suited to use as a probe of the local distribution of UHECR sources (discussed in the following lecture).

LECTURE 4: MULTI-MESSENGER APPROACHES TO PROBLEMS

Protons, nuclei, gamma-rays and neutrinos produced in extragalactic UHECR sources, such as Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN), Gamma Ray Bursts (GRBs) and Starburst Galaxies, will encounter cosmic background (CB) photons during propagation through extragalactic space. While UHE-neutrinos travel essentially without losing energy, the other UHE-particles may interact with the CB photons, limiting their propagation range
to multi-Mpc scales: $\sim 100$ Mpc for protons; $\sim 100$ Mpc for nuclei; and $\sim 10$ Mpc for photons. This suggests the possibility of exploring cosmologically nearby sources ($\sim 50$ Mpc) using UHECR protons, nuclei, photons and neutrinos simultaneously.

This lecture is divided into two sections dealing with two different multi-messenger approaches. In the first of these sections, the proton-photon connection looks into the employment of the UHECR spectrum and its photon fraction to ascertain from future UHECR flux measurements the local distribution of UHECR sources. In this section, the arriving UHECR proton spectrum for different shells from a homogeneous distribution of sources is calculated and the photon fraction of UHECRs is obtained. The effects on these results due to a local source over/under-density are highlighted. In the second section, we focus on the UHE-neutrino flux produced directly by the UHECR source for the candidate sources of AGN, GRB and Starburst Galaxies. We review the main features of the candidate UHECR sources (GRBs, AGN, starburst galaxies). Through a consideration of the source opacity, dictating the relative energy losses of nucleons and nuclei before leaving the source region, the observation of a nuclei component in the arriving UHECR at Earth is used to provide strong constraints on the UHE-neutrino flux expected from the whole ensemble of UHECR sources. The opacity factor and neutrino production in these sources are discussed and the interaction rates within these sources obtained. We end the lecture by presenting our conclusions for the multi-messenger approaches discussed.

4.1 UHECRS AND UHE-PHOTON FRACTION

As discussed in the first lecture, UHECR protons during propagation mainly lose their energy through interactions with the CMB via pair creation and photo-pion production interactions. Thus, as discussed in the third lecture, an associated UHE-photon flux arises from the decay of the neutral pions, $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, created through the $p + \gamma \rightarrow p + \pi^0$ interaction channel. These high energy photons also have their propagation range limited by background radiation fields (CMB and radio background). If, besides the CMB, a low radio background contribution is assumed, the interaction length for $10^{20}$ eV photons is approximately 10 Mpc (as shown in the left-panel of Fig. 4).

To calculate the UHECR flux at Earth, a CR source spectrum of the form $dN_{CR}/dE_{CR} \sim E_{CR}^{-\alpha} \exp\left(-E_{CR}/E_{\text{max}}\right)$ is adopted, with $E_{\text{max}} = 10^{20.5}$ eV, the maximum energy CR energy attainable by the source. The value of the spectral index, $\alpha = 2$, is motivated by non-relativistic first order Fermi acceleration (see first lecture). The assumed spatial distribution of CR sources is $dN/dV \sim (1 + z)^3$, where $z$ is the redshift of the source. Note that for the cosmologically nearby sources, any $z$ dependence is of little relevance.

To describe the contribution from regions at different distances to the UHECR flux (ie. to the GZK feature), five shells are taken. If $L$ denotes a bracketed range of distances of the source regions from the Earth (in Mpc) and $S_n$ stands for shell $n$, we have: $S_1$ for $L \in [0, 3]$, $S_2$ for $L \in [3, 9]$, $S_3$ for $L \in [9, 27]$, $S_4$ for $L \in [27, 81]$, and $S_5$ for $L \in [81, 243]$.

For a homogeneous distribution of sources, the arriving contribution from accelerators within a shell, ignoring the effects of attenuation, is proportional to the width of the shell. So the ratio of contributions from consecutive shells $S_{n+1}$ to $S_n$, is $R_{n+1}/R_n =$
After including the effects of attenuation, it is seen that the more distant the source, the earlier the GZK cutoff appears. This result may be easily understood through an application of the analytic description for proton propagation provided in the first lecture.

The contribution from the different shells (also discussed in the previous lecture) to the photon flux and photon fraction are shown, respectively, in the left panel of Fig. 4. For this result, the Clark radio background radiation and a single $\gamma\gamma_{bg}$ interaction (i.e., strong extragalactic magnetic fields) were assumed. From this figure it is clear that the UHE-photon flux is suppressed for photons coming from regions farther than tens of Mpc away. The UHE-photon fraction for this case, shown in the right-panel of Fig. 4, shows two peaks with values $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-2}$, respectively, with the dominant contributing shell being 9-27 Mpc.

To model a local over-density of UHECR accelerators, a ratio of sources from different shells $R_{n+1}:R_{n} = 1:0.5$ and $R_{n+1}:R_{n} = 1:0.7$ was adopted. Such an over-density leads to a less abrupt and slightly higher in energy GZK suppression and an increase in the photon fraction at energies around the first peak. Similarly, to describe an under-density in the UHECR accelerator distribution, a local void of UHECR sources was introduced. Such a local void lead to a slightly lower in energy and very abrupt GZK suppression and a decrease in the photon fraction at energies around the first peak. For this case an increase in the photon fraction at energies around the second peak was also observed. However, such a photon component would not be detectable with present and (foreseeable) next generation UHECR detectors.

The effect of diffusion on these results is to increase the path length of the protons relative to that of the same energy photons, reducing the flux contribution from distant sources and thus, in a homogeneous scenario, increasing the photon fraction component. In this sense the photon fraction values calculated can be considered conservative values.

### 4.2 UHECR NUCLEI AND THE DIFFUSE NEUTRINO FLUX FROM SOURCES

We here go through “generic” calculations for the possible neutrino flux from AGN, GRBs, and starburst galaxies, making use of the source opacity, $f_{\pi}$, for $p\gamma$ interactions. At energies above $10^{15}$ eV, the sources of CR remain completely unknown. However, a list of candidates sources may be drawn up from the Hillas criteria [38]. Acceleration mechanisms aside, a simple dimensional argument of the possible acceleration sites and the maximum obtainable energy from these sites due to the magnetic field and the size of the site can be considered, giving

$$\frac{E_{\text{max}}}{10^{18} \text{ eV}} = Ze\left(\frac{B}{\mu\text{G}}\right)\frac{R_{\text{source}}}{\text{kpc}},$$

where $E_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum energy obtainable by a particle in the source, $B$ is the magnetic field in the source, and $R_{\text{source}}$ is the size of the source region. Together with a consideration of the physics to describe the escape time of the particle and the energy gain rate such as that achieved through diffusive shock acceleration models under
the Bohm diffusion assumption, for which the escape time $\sim R^2/(R_{\text{Larmor}}c)$ and the acceleration time $\sim R/(\beta_{sh}^2c)$, a refined description for the maximum energy is obtained,

$$E_{\text{max}} = \beta_{sh}Ze(Bc)R_{\text{source}},$$

where $\beta_{sh}$ is the velocity, in units of the speed of light in a vacuum ($c$), of the shock propagating through the source. Possible accelerators, able to achieve the particle energies observed at the high energy end of the spectrum ($\sim 10^{20}$ eV) are active Galactic nuclei (AGN) with $R \sim 10^{-2}$ pc and $B \sim G$, Gamma Ray Bursts (GRB) with $R \sim 10^{-6}$ pc and $B \sim 10^3 G$ and starburst regions with $R \sim 100$ pc and $B \sim 100 \mu G$.

The luminosity break energy at the candidate sources is $10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for AGN, $10^{52}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for Gamma Ray Bursts (GRBs) and $10^{52}$ erg s$^{-1}$ for starburst galaxies. These numbers must then be compared with the luminosity of a UHECR accelerator. With UHECR above $10^{18}$ eV having an energy density $\sim 10^{-8}$ eV cm$^{-3}$ or $10^{54}$ erg Mpc$^{-3}$, the flux density of the sources, outputting for a Hubble time must be $10^{37}$ erg Mpc$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. With source densities $\sim 10^{-5}$ Mpc$^{-3}$, this puts a requirement of $L \sim 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Thus, all the sources considered it seems may be considered reasonable, physically motivated, candidates.

**AGN:** In this model, UHECRs are accelerated within relativistic blobs of plasma moving along the AGN jet. Assuming jet Lorentz factors $\sim \Gamma \sim 30$, and acceleration regions of size $l_{\text{source}} \sim \Gamma c\Delta t \sim 10^{-2}$ pc, $\Delta t$ is the typical duration of a flare, the photon density within the source region with photon energies at which the spectrum $(E_\gamma dN_\gamma/dE_\gamma)$ is maximum, is $n_{\gamma}^{\text{max}} = 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$. This leads to expected opacity factors of the source of $f_\pi = l_{\text{source}}/l_{\text{int}} \approx 500$ [39], where $l_{\text{source}}$ is the size of the source region and $l_{\text{int}} \approx 1/(n_{\gamma}\sigma_{p\gamma})$ is the interaction length of the particle.
**GRB:** GRBs are brief flashes of high energy radiation that can outshine any other source in the sky. It is believed that the energetics associated with a GRB are caused by the dissipation of the kinetic energy of a relativistic expanding plasma wind called a “fireball”. CRs may be accelerated in the fireball’s shocks where the Lorentz factor are $\Gamma \sim 300$. During the post-fireball phase, due to the expansion of the spherical shock in the shell rest frame and the relative motion between the shell rest frame and the observer’s frame, the size of the original object is $l_{\text{source}} = \Gamma^2 c \Delta t \sim 10^{-6}$ pc, where $\Delta t$ is the smallest observable timescale in the prompt emission. The radiation field spectrum is modelled according to $E_\gamma dN_\gamma/dE_\gamma \propto E_\gamma^{-\beta}$, with $\beta = 0, 1$ below and above the break energy $E_{\gamma, br} = 1$ MeV , respectively [40]. The number density for photons is found to be $n_\gamma^{\text{max}} \sim 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$. This leads to expected opacity factors of the source of $f_\pi = l_{\text{source}}/l_{\text{int}} \approx 0.5$ [39].

**Starburst Galaxy:** These are galaxies undergoing an episode of large scale star formation. Within the starburst region of size $L \sim 100$ pc, OB and red supergiant stars emit UV radiation, whereas the dust surrounding the starburst region produces infrared emission[39]. These components are describable by a blackbody and a greybody respectively. In this sources, the photon number density is $n_\gamma \sim 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$, much less than in AGN or GRBs. This leads to expected opacity factors of the source of $f_\pi = l_{\text{source}}/l_{\text{int}} \approx 10^{-4}$ [39].

Protons interact with the source ambient radiation to photo-produce pions when the photon energy in the proton’s rest frame is above $E_{\gamma, th} \sim 145$ MeV. The decay of the secondary neutrons and charged pions produce a flux of neutrinos (as discussed in lecture 2).

Both for AGN and GRB, charged pion decay is the dominant contribution to the cosmic neutrino flux, which at its peak value is around 75% of the Waxman-Bahcall bound. For the Starburst model, due to the small values of $f_\pi$, the neutrino flux is 4 orders of magnitude below the Waxman-Bahcall limit.

If UHECR sources accelerate heavy nuclei instead of protons, the resulting high energy neutrino spectrum will be suppressed compared to an all-proton composition at acceleration. At the source, a nucleus interacts with the radiation fields photo-disintegrating into its constituent nucleons, which may then themselves produce neutrinos through photo-pion interactions. Since the density of the radiation fields at the source determines the intensity of the associated neutrino flux, if most of the nuclei are broken into their nucleons, the flux of neutrinos will be very similar to that predicted for accelerated protons. On the other hand, if the accelerated nuclei leave the source without interacting, the cosmic neutrino flux will be dramatically suppressed.

We can apply the proton interaction rate (as discussed in the first lecture)

$$R_{p\gamma} \approx \sigma_{p\gamma} \int^{(\xi_{p\gamma}+\Delta_{p\gamma})/2\Gamma_p}_{(\xi_{p\gamma}-\Delta_{p\gamma})/2\Gamma_p} d\epsilon_\gamma \frac{dn_\gamma(\epsilon_\gamma)}{d\epsilon_\gamma},$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

to photo-disintegration reactions

$$R_{Fe\gamma} \approx \sigma_{Fe\gamma} \int^{(\xi_{Fe\gamma}+\Delta_{Fe\gamma})/2\Gamma_p}_{(\xi_{Fe\gamma}-\Delta_{Fe\gamma})/2\Gamma_p} d\epsilon_\gamma \frac{dn_\gamma(\epsilon_\gamma)}{d\epsilon_\gamma}. \hspace{1cm} (20)$$
where $dn_\gamma/dE_\gamma$ is the number density spectrum of ambient photons, $\sigma_{p\gamma} \approx 0.5$ mb, $\xi_{p\gamma} \approx 310$ MeV, $\Delta_{p\gamma} \approx 100$ MeV, $\sigma_{Fe\gamma} \approx 80$ mb, $\xi_{Fe\gamma} \approx 18$ MeV and $\Delta_{Fe\gamma} \approx 5$ MeV. This gives a ratio between photo pion and photo-disintegration rates of

$$R_{Fe\gamma}(\Gamma_p) \approx \frac{\sigma_{Fe\gamma}}{\sigma_{p\gamma}}R_{p\gamma}(15\Gamma_p) = 160R_{p\gamma}(15\Gamma_p).$$

(21)

Complete photo-disintegration only occurs in GRBs for $E_{Fe} > 10^{17}$ eV, and in AGN, for $E_{Fe} > 10^{20}$ eV. The photo-disintegration at Starburst regions is negligible. As expected from this expression, in all cases the photo-disintegration curve followed the shape of the proton interaction rate, with the shape of both curves being dictated by the shape of the radiation field spectrum.

**4.3 CONCLUSIONS**

In the first part of this lecture, the detection of the photon fraction component of UHECR spectrum has been demonstrated to be a powerful diagnostic tool for both verifying the origin of the suppression feature observed of the in the UHECR spectrum as well as being usable, in conjunction with the UHECR cut-off feature observed, in determining the local distribution of UHECR sources. In the second part of the lecture, generic calculation for the diffuse UHE-neutrino spectra from candidate sources of UHECR (protons) have been gone through. Through the assumption, in both GRB and AGN models, for near unity neutrino production opacities ($f_\pi$), complete disintegration of UHECR nuclei in these objects has been demonstrated to be expected for energies above $10^{17}$ eV and $10^{20}$ eV respectively. A consistency check of these opacities factors, whose values dictate the probability of UHECR protons interacting and producing UHE-neutrinos in the sources before escaping, with the presence of Fe in the arriving UHECRs demands that much smaller neutrino fluxes from these sources should be expected than obtained from present calculations which ignore the information carried by the existence of UHECR nuclei.
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